Journal of Chemical Engineering and Material Science

Volume 8 Number 5 August 2017 ISSN 2141-6605



Academic Iournals

ABOUT JCEMS

The Journal of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science (JCEMS) is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

Journal of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science (JCEMS) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as semiconductors, high-temperature alloys, Kinetic Processes in Materials, Magnetic Properties of Materials, optimization of mixed materials etc. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JCEMS are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office:	jcems@academicjournals.org				
Help Desk:	helpdesk@academicjournals.org				
Website:	http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JCEMS				
Submit manuscript online	http://ms.academicjournals.me/				

Editors

Dr. R. Jayakumar

Center for Nanosciences Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham University Cochin-682 026 India

Prof. Lew P Christopher

Center for Bioprocessing Research and Development(CBRD) South Dakota School of Mines and Technology(SDSM&T) 501 East Saint Joseph Street Rapid City 57701 SD USA

Prof. Huisheng Peng

Laboratory of Advanced Materials Department of Macromolecular Science Fudan University Shanghai 200438 China

Prof. Layioye Ola Oyekunle Department of Chemical Engineering University of Lagos Akoka-Yaba Lagos Nigeria

Dr. Srikanth Pilla

Structural Engineering and Geomechanics Program Dept of Civil and Environmental Engineering Stanford University Stanford CA 94305-4020 USA.

Asst Prof. Narendra Nath Ghosh

Department of Chemistry, Zuarinagar, Goa-403726, India.

Dr. Rishi Kumar Singhal

Department of Physics, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur 302055 India.

Dr. Daoyun Song

West Virginia University Department of Chemical Engineering, P. O Box 6102, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA.

Editorial Board

Prof. Priyabrata Sarkar Department of Polymer Science and Technology University of Calcutta 92 APC Road Kolkata India

Dr. Mohamed Ahmed AbdelDayem

Department of Chemistry College of Science King Faisal University Al-Hasa Saudi Arabia

Ayo Samuel Afolabi

School of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering University of the Witwatersrand Johannesburg Private Bag 3 Wits 2050 Johannesburg South Africa

Dr. S. Bakamurugan

Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie Universität Münster Corrensstrasse 30 D-48149 Münster Germany

Prof. Esezobor David Ehigie

Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering Faculty of Engineering University of Lagos, Lagos

Dr sunday ojolo

Mechanical Engineering Department University of Lagos Akoka Lagos, Nigeria

Prof. Dr. Qingjie Guo

College of Chemical Engineering Qingdao University of Science and Technology Zhengzhou 53 Qingdao 266042 China

Dr Ramli Mat

Head of Chemical Engineering Department Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

Prof. Chandan Kumar Sarkar

Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Jadavpur University Kolkata India

Dr.-Ing. Ulrich Teipel

Georg-Simon-Ohm Hochschule Nürnberg Mechanische Verfahrenstechnik/ Partikeltechnologie Wassertorstr. 10 90489 Nürnberg Germany

Dr. Harsha Vardhan

Department of Mining Engineering National Institute of Technology Karnataka Surathkal P.O - Srinivasnagar - 575025 (D.K) Mangalore Karnataka State India

Dr. Ta Yeong Wu

School of Engineering Monash University Jalan Lagoon Selatan Bandar Sunway 46150 Selangor Darul Ehsan Malaysia

Dr. Yong Gao

DENTSPLY Tulsa Dental Specialties 5100 E. Skelly Dr. Suite 300 Tulsa Oklahoma USA

Dr. Xinli Zhu

School of chemical Biological and materials engineering the University of Oklahoma 100 E Boyd St SEC T-335 Norman, OK 73019 USA

Journal of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science

Table of Contents: Volume 8 Number 5 August 2017

ARTICLE

Production of aluminium casting with open-cell aluminium foam core C. Domrong and S. Srimanosaowapak 37

academic Journals

Vol. 8(5), pp. 37-45, August 2017 DOI: 10.5897/JCEMS2017.0291 Articles Number: 34E699265642 ISSN 2141-6605 Copyright ©2017 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/JCEMS

Journal of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science

Full Length Research Paper

Production of aluminium casting with open-cell aluminium foam core

C. Domrong and S. Srimanosaowapak*

National Metal and Materials Technology Center (MTEC), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA), 114 Thailand Science Park, Paholyothin Rd., Klong 1, Klong Luang, Pathumthani 12120, Thailand.

Received 25 January, 2017; Accepted 6 March, 2017

This research aims to investigate the influence of production conditions on the quality of aluminium casting with open-cell aluminium foam core. Open-cell aluminium foams were produced using vacuum pressure to aid molten metal to be fill into space of water-soluble space holders to obtain aluminum foams with specific pore sizes. The produced foams were then used as cores for castings. Optical microscope was employed for determination of the final cast product structure. Results indicate that open-cell aluminum foam can be successfully used as a core for casting without pore intrusion by molten metal. However, the quality of final cast products depends significantly on preheat and pouring temperature conditions. Possible optimal conditions for good quality castings were suggested.

Key words: Aluminum foam, aluminum foam core with shell, re-melting, open-cell.

INTRODUCTION

Current research in materials engineering is focused on developing new materials for the purpose of producing structural elements of lower density with improved performances. One of the great interest new materials is metal integral foam, including castings (Körner et al., 2006; Bauer et al., 2013; Vacario et al., 2016), sheets (Banhart, 2001, 2007; Banhart and Seeliger, 2008; Wang et al., 2015) and tubes (Hangai et al., 2015), which has a solid skin at the surface and a foam as core. Most metal foam cores currently used in castings are closed cell type due to simple manufacture. Even metal integral foam with closed cell metal core can avoid penetration of molten metal or adhesive into the porous structure when making surface skin on the core, the drawbacks of the closed cell foam core are non-uniform structure (Kennedy, 2012;

Körner et al., 2006) and cracks created during the solidification of the foam (Florek et al., 2014). The lack of control metal foam core structure results in unpredictable properties of final casting products. Open-cell metal foam, which often has well control size and uniformity of pores, would be a good candidate for the foam core. However, approach for prevention of pore penetration is needed. It is therefore the purpose of this work to investigate the use of open-cell foam core for making a metal integral foam without skin material penetration into the porous structure of the core. Factors that affect casting and core structure including pore size, pouring temperature and preheat temperature were investigated with the aim to gain new insights and derive practical implications in the implementation of open-cell

*Corresponding author. E-mail: sompongs@mtec.or.th.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u>

Table 1. Chemical composition of AC2A (A319) aluminium alloy used for producing aluminum foam cores and cast products in this work.

Element (wt %)								
Cu	Mg	Si	Fe	Mn	Ni	Zn	AI	
4.13	0.22	4.67	0.18	0.02	0.01	0.01	Balance	





Figure 1. Diagram of foam core and mold for manufacturing a cast product.

aluminium foam for usage as a core in metal castings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Aluminium castings having open-cell aluminium foam cores were produced using two step processes: core and cast product production. In core production, open-cell aluminium foam cores were produced using space holder technique via vacuum infiltration casting method. Water-soluble template balls (Srimanosaowapak and Wattanapornphan, 2014) with 6 and 10 mm diameter were used as space holder. Plaster molds each having a cavity of donut shape with 25 × 20 mm rectangular section and two prints each with 25×20 mm rectangular section were produced. The prints were used for core placement. Six cores were produced for different conditions of cast product production. The core production included (i) melting of 1 - 2 kg AC2A alloy, whose chemical composition is given in Table 1, in a plumbago crucible in an electrical resistance furnace at 794 to 800°C, (ii) casting with and without vacuum infiltration into a 600°C preheated plaster mold having a cavity of donut shape filled with water-soluble template balls, and (iii) removing the cast core from the mold without leaching the template balls. In core production, a K-type thermocouple was placed in each plaster mold to monitor cast product temperature. The mold setup for cast product production is shown in Figure 1. Six cast products

with different pore size, pouring temperature and preheat temperature were produced. The cast product production included (i) seating the cast core using two added projections (core prints) on the pattern in a plaster mold having a cavity of 5 x 12 mm larger than the cast core, (ii) preheating the mold together with the cast core at 600 to 615°C, (iii) casting AC2A alloy melt at 800 to 900°C into the mold, (iv) removing the cast product from the mold, and (v) immersing the cast product in water to leach the template balls via the core prints resulting in the cast product with open-cell aluminium foam core having porosity of an inverse structure of space holder. All cast products with open-cell aluminium foam cores were sectioned, ground and polished in both longitudinal and perpendicular direction to observe their structure. Example of sectioned specimens of a cast product is shown in Figure 2. Microstructure of the cast products was examined using an optical microscope. Defects were investigated by visual observation on high magnification images at different locations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Open-cell aluminium foam core

Figure 3 shows a representative microstructure of an



Figure 2. Photograph of a cast product sectioned in different directions.

open-cell aluminium foam core produced using 6 mm template ball size and vacuum infiltration casting method. It can be seen that uniform structure can be obtained by space holder technique via vacuum infiltration. Basically, the larger the template balls, the more the infiltrated space, and hence the easier the casting. Similar trend is also applied for pouring and preheat temperature. The investigated size of template balls of 6 and 10 mm in diameter, preheated at 600°C, of this work was found to be completely filled with molten AC2A aluminium alloy having the pouring temperature of 800-850 via gravitational casting method as well. However, specific porosity of final aluminium foam cannot be obtained by the gravitational casting method. Vacuum infiltration casting method, on the other hand, has been found to be viable for production of open-cell aluminium foam with controllable porosity level via variable vacuum and mold permeability level (Phewoon, 2015). However, due to unwanted pore intrusion by molten metal of a cast product having an open-cell aluminium foam core, only full infiltration of molten metal into space among template balls is only required for core application. Aluminium foams in this work were therefore produced with completely fulfill infiltration of space holders. Another pore intrusion prevention of the open-cell aluminium foam core in this work is to pre-fabricate the core without leaching template balls prior to insert in the cavity of a mold. Example of a pre-fabricated aluminium foam core is shown in Figure 4.

Cast product with open-cell aluminium foam core

Cast product was successfully produced using open-cell aluminium foam as a core. However, good quality of castings was obtained only with some production conditions, that is, preheat temperature of 600°C with pouring temperature of 800 and 850°C, wherein castings were complete without drift and pore intrusion, as can be seen in Figure 5. For higher both pouring and preheat temperatures, castings lacked completeness, wherein either drift or pore intrusion appeared. The completeness of a casting is expected to depend on the strength of core and forces acting on the core. When the stress generated by imposed forces exceeds the strength of the core, the core will fracture. The criterion for core viability can





Figure 3. Photographs showing structural uniformity of open-cell aluminium foam core of 6 mm pore size produced using the preheat temperature of 600°C and the melting temperature of 800°C: (a) perpendicular sections at 90, 45, 0, - 45 and -90°, and (b) longitudinal section.



Figure 4. Photograph of a pre-fabricated aluminium foam core produced using the preheat temperature of 600°C and the melting temperature of 800°C.

therefore be determined by the equation: $\tau_c > \tau_f$ While τ_c is the core shear strength and τ_f is the shear stress

given by forces acting on the core. The imposed forces on the core are forces generated by buoyancy, metallostatic pressure and jet impact of molten metal,



Figure 5. Photographs of cast products produced using different preheat and pouring temperature (overlay circles display original position of cores relative to cast products).

while the strength of the core at semi-solid conditions of this work AC2A alloy would be roughly estimated as

shown in Figure 6 taken from the literature (Dahle and St John, 1998). The solid fraction at temperatures of the



Figure 6. A plot of shear strength (kPa) vs. solid fraction (fs) for an AI-4%Si-4%Cu alloy (Dahle and St John, 1998).



Figure 7. Solid fraction vs. temperature of the current study alloy.

current alloy was obtained using ProCAST software with Scheil equation determination as shown in Figure 7.

Based on the data from Figures 6 and 7, the strength of the current core at preheat temperatures 600 and 610°C



Figure 8. Core viability map.

is expected to be 2.5 and 0 kPa, respectively. Ignoring the effects of metallostatic pressure and jet impact of molten metal, the shear stress provided by buoyancy force on the core is estimated to be 0.334 kPa for the average density of molten metal between 618 and 900°C. The limit of core failure based on the calculated and material shear strength of this work was found to be in accordance with the results shown in Figure 5. Pore intrusion was clearly seen at top section of the cast products with the core experiencing preheat temperature of 610°C and pouring temperature of 850 and 900°C. Even though the cast product produced by the pouring temperature of 800°C and preheat temperature of 610°C appeared to have no pore intrusion, significant core drift towards top of the cast product was obviously seen. This implies that the pore intrusion at top section of the cast products would occur by the rupture of core by floatation. The cores preheated at 600°C, on the other hand, seem to be stronger than those preheated at 610°C as only pouring temperature of 900°C broke the core of the cast product, possibly due to overwhelming thermal energy of the molten metal. Summary of the influence of preheat and pouring temperature on core viability in terms of pore intrusion by molten metal is given in Figure 8.

Continuum structure between cast product and core

Factors that affect continuum structure between cast product and core include mold filling and solidification time, which are mainly determined by the preheat temperature of mold and core, and the pouring temperature of molten metal. Re-melting of core during

product casting is a crucial criterion to produce a high quality cast product having good bonding between core and casting, likewise that in composite metal castings (Fjeld and Ludwig, 2009). To investigate the continuum of structure between open-cell aluminium foam core and cast metal, interface between the two components was observed as shown for example in Figures 9 and 10. Only 90 and 45° sections, which are at top part of the cast product, were found to have no interface, while 0, -45 and -90° degree sections, which are at bottom part of the cast product, were found to have explicit interface between the open-cell aluminium foam core and the cast metal. This result suggests that the top part of the cast product, when closer to mold entrance, would contain more heat than the bottom part resulting in re-melting of the solid open-cell aluminium foam core. It should be noted that some area of the same specimen sections contained structure both with and without interface as shown in Figure 10. Such localised continuum structure may be caused by the variation of solidification time within the casting. In order to obtain a good quality of casting with continuum structure, good mold design and suitable casting parameters are required to obtain an equilibrium temperature on the whole core surface and a sufficient temperature to re-melt only core surface without destroying foam structure.

Conclusions

Open-cell aluminium foam was successfully used as a core for castings. The key success in metal casting implementation of the open-cell aluminium foam core





Figure 9. OM images showing structure at interface between open-cell aluminium foam and cast metal of the cast product produced with the preheat temperature of 600° C and pouring temperature of 820° C at perpendicular sections: (a) 90, (b) 45, (c) -45, (d) -90 and (e) 0^{\circ}.



(a)

(b)

Figure 10. OM images of the cast product produced with the preheat temperature of 600°C and pouring temperature of 820°C showing different interface area where: (a) core did not re-melt and interface was explicitly seen and (b) some area (circle line) of core re-melted and no interface appeared.

without pore intrusion by cast metal is the direct utilisation of the pre-fabricated core without leaching template balls to a cast product mold. Only full infiltration of molten metal into space among template balls is also required

for the pre-fabricated core in order to prevent unwanted pore intrusion during casting of final cast product. The cores in this work were preheated at temperatures in the range of semi-solid state of metal component in order to gain continuum structure with cast metal. However, too high either preheat temperature or pouring temperature was found to exceed the limit of the core viability where the strength of the core is lower than the stress generated by the forces acting on the core. In order to obtain a good quality of casting with continuum structure, good mold design and suitable casting parameters are required to obtain an equilibrium temperature on the whole core surface and a sufficient temperature to remelt only core surface without destroying foam structure.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by National Metal and Materials Technology Center (MTEC), Thailand (Grant number MT-B-55-MET-07-252-I).

REFERENCES

- Banhart J (2001). Manufacture. characterisation and application of cellular metals and metal foams. Prog. Mater. Sci. 46:559-632.
- Banhart J (2007). Metal foams-from fundamental research to applications. In Frontiers in the Design of Materials, Raj B, Ranganathan S, Rao KBS, Matthew MD, Shankar P (Eds). Universities Press (India) Limited, India. pp. 279-289.

- Banhart J, Seeliger HW (2008). Aluminium foam sandwich panels: manufacture, metallurgy and applications. Adv. Eng. Mater. 10:793-802.
- Bauer B, Kralj S, Bušić M (2013). Production and application of metal foams in casting technology. Technical Gazette. 20:1095-1102.
- Dahle AK, StJohn DH (1998). Rheological behaviour of the mushy zone and its effect on the formation of casting defects during solidification. Acta Metallurgica 47:31-41.
- Florek R, Simančík F, Harnúšková J, Orovčík Ľ, Dvorák T, Nosko M, Tekel T (2014). Injection molded plastics with aluminum foam core. Procedia Mater. Sci. 4:323-327.
- Fjeld A, Ludwig A (2009). Flow patterns and re-melting during filling of a large composite casting. Intl. J. Cast Metals Res. 22:111-114.
- Hangai Y, Nakano Y, Koyama S, Kuwazuru O, Kitahara S, Yoshikawa N (2015). Fabrication of aluminum tubes filled with aluminum alloy foam by friction welding. Materials 8:7180-7190.
- Kennedy A (2012). Porous Metals and metal foams made from powders. In Powder Metallurgy, Kondoh K (Ed.). InTech. pp. 31-46.
- Körner C, Hirschmann M, Wiehler H (2006) Integral foam moulding of light metals. Mater. Trans. 47:2188-2194.
- Phewoon P (2015). Development of aluminium foam panel for sound absorption in road vehicles. Master thesis, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Thailand.
- Srimanosaowapak S, Wattanapornphan P (2014). Compositions of template for producing core and metal foam, and preparation method thereof. Thai Patent Application No. 1401005691.
- Vicario I, Crespo I, Plaza LM, Caballero P, Idoiaga IK (2016) Aluminium foam and magnesium compound casting produced by high-pressure die casting. Metals 6:1-16.
- Wang Y, Ren X, Hou H, Zhang Y, Yan W (2015). Processing and pore structure of aluminium foam sandwich. Powder Technol. 275:344-350.

Journal of Chemical Engineering and Material Science

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

 Journal of Engineering and Technology Research
International Journal of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering

Journal of Civil Engineering and Construction Technology
International Journal of Computer Engineering Research
Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering Research
Journal of Engineering and Computer Innovations
Journal of Mechanical Engineering Research

Journal of Petroleum and Gas Engineering

academicJournals